RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT

OF THE GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC)

FOR

AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF

MON87411 MAIZE FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY

NBB REF NO: JBK(S) 600-2/1/2

APPLICANT: MONSANTO (MALAYSIA) SDN. BHD.

DATE:7 JULY 2020

I - Summary of Assessment Process

On 31 October 2019, the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC, please refer to Appendix 1 for details of GMAC) received from the Department of Biosafety an application for the approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism, insect-resistant and herbicide-tolerant MON87411 maize. The application was filed by Monsanto (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. (hereafter referred to as "the applicant"). After an initial review, GMAC requested for additional information from the applicant.

A public consultation for this application was conducted from 10 September 2019 to 9 October 2019 via advertisements in the local newspapers, e-mail announcements and social media. Comments were received from Third World Network (TWN), Malaysian Agroecology Society (SRI-MAS) and Federation of Malaysian Consumer Association (FOMCA). GMAC took into considerations comments regarding potential non-target effects of the proteins as well as interactive effects between proteins, molecular characterization, safety assessment and glufosinate herbicide residue concerns in imported MON87411 maize.

GMAC had five (5) meetings pertaining to this application and prepared the Risk Assessment Report and Risk Assessment Matrix along with its recommended decision, for consideration by the National Biosafety Board.

II - Background of Application

This application is for approval to import and release products of a Living Modified Organism insect-resistant and herbicide-tolerant MON87411 maize. The aim of the import and release is to supply or offer to supply for sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP). According to the applicant, MON87411 maize has been registered in a number of countries for cultivation as well as for food, feed and for processing. MON87411 maize is approved in New Zealand, Canada, Colombia, Korea, Mexico and the United States and may be imported, stored and processed for use in food, animal feed and industrial products in the same way as other conventional, non-transgenic corn. The type of expected use of the products derived from MON87411 maize in Malaysia will be the same as the expected usage for products derived from conventional maize. Potential users of products derived from MON87411 maize such as grains are feed millers, food processors and other industrial use.

Information about MON87411 maize

The recipient or parental plant is *Zea mays* L.spp *mays* (field or sweet corn). Corn is extensively cultivated and has a long history of safe use as a food or feed. It is one of the largest cultivated crop in the world followed by wheat (*Triticum* sp.) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in total global metric ton production (FAOSTAT, 2016).

MON87411 maize has been genetically modified to have protection against corn rootworm and tolerant to glyphosate herbicides. MON87411 maize produces Cry3Bb1 protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis* (subspecies *kumamotoensis*) that selectively controls corn rootworm species. It also produces a 5-enolpyruvyl shikimate-3-phosphate synthase (termed CP4 EPSPS) from *Agrobacterium* sp. strain CP4, which confers tolerance to glyphosate, the active ingredient in Roundup® agricultural herbicides.

III - Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan

GMAC evaluated the application with reference to the following documents:

(i) CODEX Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants**.**

(ii) Roadmap for Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms, (according to Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety produced by the *Ad Hoc* Technical Expert Group (AHTEG) on Risk Assessment and Risk Management of the Convention on Biological Diversity).

(iii) The risk assessment and risk management plan submitted by the applicant.

GMAC took cognizance of the following as suggested within the AHTEG guidelines:

(i) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the details of this particular application

(ii) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the receiving environment in question, and

(iii) That any risk identified be compared against that posed by the unmodified organism.

In conducting the risk assessment, GMAC identified potential hazards, and then added a value/rank for the likelihood of each hazard as well as its consequences. The likelihood of each hazard occurring was evaluated qualitatively on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'highly unlikely', and 4 for 'highly likely'. The consequences of each hazard, if it were to occur, were then evaluated on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'marginal' and 4 to denote a 'major consequence'. A value was finally assigned for the overall risk from the identified potential hazard. The general formula: Overall Risk = Likelihood x Consequence was employed. GMAC also proposed risk management strategies

for potential hazards, where appropriate. This methodology of assessment follows the procedure of Risk Assessment in Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The potential hazards were identified in three main areas:

(i) **Effects on human health**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential human health risks and issues pertaining to acute toxicity of novel protein / altering / interference of metabolic pathways, potential allergenicity of the novel protein, reproductive toxicity, potential transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in digestive tract, pathogenic potential of donor microorganisms and nutritional equivalence.

(ii) **Effects on animal health**

Issues pertaining to allergenicity, toxicity, anti-nutritional, survivability and animal product contamination.

(iii) **Effects on the environment**

Issues pertaining to accidental release of seeds, unintentional release and planting, potential of transgenes being transferred to bacteria (soil bacteria, bacterial flora of animal gut), increased fitness, weediness and invasiveness, accumulation of the protein in the environment via feces from animals fed with the GM plant/grain, cross pollination leading to transfer of transgenes and toxic effect on non-target organisms were examined.

Based on the above, a final list of 20 potential hazards was identified. All of these hazards were rated as having an Overall Risk of 1 or “negligible”.

GMAC also took caution and discussed a few of the hazards that required further evaluation and data acquisition. Some of these risks are expected to be managed effectively with the risk management strategies proposed (please refer to section IV of this document).

Some of the potential hazards are highlighted below along with the appropriate management strategies:

a) Accidental release of viable seeds

Seeds may be accidentally released during transportation. These seeds can germinate and grow along transportation routes and in areas surrounding storage and processing facilities (JBK Report Number No. 04, 2015). In the conducive warm and humid climate of Malaysia,

there is a high likelihood of these volunteers maturing to the flowering and seed-setting stages. Although corn is not grown as an economic crop in Malaysia and there are no wild relatives, some varieties of baby corn and sweet corn are cultivated on small scales. Thus, there is a likelihood of outcrossing of the GM corn with these cultivated corns. Repeated cycles of spill-and-growth also increase the likelihood for the development of feral GM populations.

b) Planting of seeds

Plants may be grown by uninformed farmers and perpetuated through small scale cultivations. These GM corn may pollinate the non-GM baby corn and/or sweetcorn. There should also be clear labelling of the product to state that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.

c) Compromised Nutritional Content

Compositional analyses of the forage and grain samples showed no significant difference in nutritional composition between MON87411 maize and conventional corn.

However, applicant is required to update the National Biosafety Board immediately if additional tests indicates potential adverse effects or the possible presence of toxin or allergenic proteins.

IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval

Based on the 20 potential hazards identified and assessed, GMAC has drawn up the following terms and conditions to be included in the certificate of approval for the release of this product:

a) There shall be clear documentation by the exporter describing the product which shall be declared to the Royal Malaysian Customs.

b) There shall be clear labeling of the product from importation to all levels of marketing stating that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing and is not to be used as planting material.

c) Should the approved person receive any credible and/or scientifically proven information that indicates any adverse effect of MON87411 maize, the National Biosafety Board shall be informed immediately.

d) Any spillage (during loading/unloading/transportation) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately.

e) Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country shall be in secured and closed conditions.

V - Other Regulatory Considerations

a) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety, Royal Malaysian Customs Department and relevant agencies to ensure accurate declaration of product information and clear labeling of the product is implemented.

b) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) to impose post entry requirements for accidental spillage involving the GM product.

c) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) and other competent agencies to impose post entry requirements for food safety compliance.

d) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out between the Department of Biosafety and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) so that any unanticipated adverse effects in animals caused by any consumption of the GM products shall be reported immediately.

e) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out by Food Safety and Quality of Ministry of Health to monitor compliance to the Food Act 1983 and Food Regulations 1985; and GM food labelling guidelines.

f) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between Department of Biosafety and Ministry of Health to ensure that herbicide residues in corn consignments are below the acceptable maximum residual level established. It is recommended that importers are required to provide certificate of analysis for herbicide residues prior to shipment.

VI - Identification of issues to be addressed for release and long term use of this product

a) Continuous monitoring is required from the approved person and any unanticipated adverse effect caused by the MON87411 maize shall be reported to the National Biosafety Board.

VII –Conclusion and Recommendation

GMAC has conducted a thorough evaluation of the application for approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism insect-resistant and herbicide-tolerant MON87411 maize and has determined that the release of this product does not endanger biological diversity or human, animal and plant health. GMAC recommends that the proposed application for release

be **APPROVED WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS** as listed in section IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval.

VIII – Bibliography

1. Andersson MS, de Vicente MC (2010) Maize, Corn (Zea mays L.). In Gene Flow between Crops and Their Wild Relatives. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp 255-291
2. Baum, J.A., T.B. Johnson and B.C. Carlton. 1999. Bacillus thuringiensis: Natural and recombinant bioinsecticide products. Pages 189-209 in Methods in Biotechnology: Biopesticides: Use and Delivery. Volume 5. F.R. Hall and J.J. Menn (eds.). Humana Press Inc., Totowa, New Jersey.
3. Betz, F.S., B.G. Hammond and R.L. Fuchs. 2000. Safety and advantages of Bacillus thuringiensis-protected plants to control insect pests. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 32:156-173.
4. Bonner, H K.S., A. OP. Vaughn and R. E. Hileman, 2003. Assessment of the invitro Digestibility in Simulated Gastric and Intestinal Fluids of the Cry3Bb1. pvzmir39 Protein. Monsanto Technical Report MSL-18662. St. Louis, Missouri
5. Cannon, R.J.C. 1993. Prospects and progress for Bacillus thuringiensis-based pesticides. Pesticide Science 37:331-335.
6. Crawley, M.J., S.L. Brown, R.S. Hails, D.D. Koh and M. Rees. 2001. Transgenic crops in natural habitats. Nature 409:682-683.
7. CFIA. (1994) The Biology of *Zea mays* (L.) (Maize). Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Ottawa, Ontario, BIO1994-11
8. Christensen AH, Sharrock RA, Quail PH (1992) Maize polyubiquitin genes: structure, thermal perturbation of expression and transcript splicing, and promoter activity following transfer to protoplasts by electroporation. *Plant Mol Biol* 18: 675-689
9. Clark BW, Phillips TA, Coats JR (2005) Environmental Fate and Effects of Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) Proteins from Transgenic Crops: a Review. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 53: 4643-4653
10. Codex Alimentarius Commission. (2008) Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants. Codex Alimentarius, CAC/GL 45-2003
11. Conner AJ, Glare TR, Nap J-P (2003) The release of genetically modified crops into the environment. Part II. Overview of ecological risk assessment. The Plant Journal 33: 19-46
12. Constable A, Jonas D, Cockburn A, Davi A, Edwards G, Hepburn P, Herouet-Guicheney C, Knowles M, Moseley B, Oberdorfer R, Samuels F (2007) History of safe use as applied to the safety assessment of novel foods and foods derived from genetically modified organisms. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 45: 2513-2525

13. de Vries J, Wackernagel W (2004) Microbial horizontal gene transfer and the DNA release from transgenic crop plants. Plant and Soil 266: 91-104

14. Ellis RT, Stockhoff BA, Stamp L, Schnepf HE, Schwab GE, Knuth M, Russell J, Cardineau GA, Narva KE (2002) Novel *Bacillus thuringiensis* Binary Insecticidal Crystal Proteins Active on Western Corn Rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68: 1137-1145
15. FAO-WHO. 2001. Evaluation of allergenicity of genetically modified foods. Report of a joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on allergenicity of foods derived from biotechnology. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
16. Harrison, L.A., M.R. Bailey, M.W. Naylor, J.E. Ream, B.G. Hammond, D.L. Nida, B.L. Burnette, T.E. Nickson, T.A. Mitsky, M.L. Taylor, R.L. Fuchs and S.R. Padgette. 1996. The expressed protein in glyphosate-tolerant soybean, 5-enolypyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase from Agrobacterium sp. strain CP4, is rapidly digested in vitro and is not toxic to acutely gavaged mice. Journal of Nutrition 126:728-740.
17. Hefle SL, Nordlee JA, Taylor SL (1996) Allergenic foods. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 36: S69-S89
18. Herman RA, Scherer PN, Young DL, Mihaliak CA, Meade T, Woodsworth AT, Stockhoff BA, Narva KE (2002) Binary Insecticidal Crystal Protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis*, Strain PS149B1: Effects of Individual Protein Components and Mixtures in Laboratory Bioassays. *J Econ Entomol* 95: 635-639
19. Icoz I, Stotzky G (2008) Cry3Bb1 protein from Bacillus thuringiensis in root exudates and biomass of transgenic corn does not persist in soil. Transgenic Research 17: 609-620
20. ILSI-CERA. 2010. A review of the environmental safety of the CP4 EPSPS protein. International Life Sciences Institute, Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, Washington, D.C.
21. Ivashuta, S.I., J.S. Petrick, S.E. Heisel, Y. Zhang, L. Guo, T.L. Reynolds, J.F. Rice, E. Allen and J.K. Roberts. 2009. Endogenous small RNAs in grain: Semi-quantification and sequence homology to human and animal genes. Food and Chemical Toxicology 47:353-360.
22. Jensen, P.D., Y. Zhang, B.E. Wiggins, J.S. Petrick, J. Zhu, R.A. Kerstetter, G.R. Heck and S.I. Ivashuta. 2013. Computational sequence analysis of predicted long dsRNA transcriptomes of major crops reveals sequence complementarity with human genes. GM Crops and Food 4:90-97.
23. Kaempfe, T. A. and H. K.S. Bonner, 2003. An acute oral toxicity study in mice with E. coli-produced Cry3Bb1.pvzmir39 protein. Monsanto Technical Report MSL 18711. St. Louis, Missouri
24. Kang, H.T. and A. Silvanovich. 2013. Updated Bioinformatics Evaluation of the CP4 EPSPS Protein Utilizing the AD_2013, TOX_2013 and PRT_2013 Databases. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0024715. St. Louis, Missouri.
25. Klusmeyer, T.H., K. D. Miller and R.D. Sorbet. 2013. Compositional Analyses of Maize Forage and Grain from Glyphosate Treated MON 87411 Grown in Argentina during 2011/2012. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0024658. St. Louis, Missouri.
26. Leach, J.N., R. E. Hileman, J. J. Throp, C. George and J. D. Astwood, 2002. Assessment of the in vitro digestibility of purified E. coli-produced CP4 EPSPS protein in simulated gastric fluid. Monsanto Technical Report MSL 17566. St. Louis, Missouri.

27. McClintock, J.T., C.R. Schaffer and R.D. Sjoblad. 1995. A comparative review of the mammalian toxicity of Bacillus thuringiensis-based pesticides. Pesticide Science 45:95-105.
28. Mendelsohn M, Kough J, Vaituzis Z, Matthews K (2003) Are Bt crops safe? Nature Biotechnology 21: 1003-1009
29. Moellenbeck DJ, Peters ML, Bing JW, Rouse JR, Higgins LS, Sims L, Nevshemal T, Marshall L, Ellis RT, Bystrak PG, Lang BA, Stewart JL, Kouba K, Sondag V, Gustafson V, Nour K, Xu D, Swenson J, Zhang J, Czapla T, Schwab G, Jayne S, Stockhoff BA, Narva K, Schnepf HE, Stelman SJ, Poutre C, Koziel M, Duck N (2001) Insecticidal proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* protect corn from corn rootworms. *Nat Biotech* 19: 668-672
30. Moneret-Vautrin DA, Kanny G, Beaudouin E (1998) Does food allergy to maize exist? *Allergie et Immunologie* 30: 230
31. Naylor, M. W. 1993. Acute oral toxicity study of CP4 EPSPS protein in albino mice. Monsanto Technical Report MSL-13077. St .Louis, Missouri.
32. Nielsen KM (1998) Barriers to horizontal gene transfer by natural transformation in soil bacteria. APMIS 106: 77-84
33. Nielsen KM, Bones AM, Smalla K, van Elsas JD (1998) Horizontal gene transfer from transgenic plants to terrestrial bacteria – a rare event? FEMS Microbiology Reviews 22: 79-103
34. OECD. (1993) Safety Evaluation of Foods Derived by Modern Biotechnology: Concepts and Principles. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris, France
35. OECD. (2002) Consensus Document on Compositional Considerations for New Varieties of Maize (*Zea Mays*): Key Food and Feed Nutrients, Anti-Nutrients and Secondary Plant Metabolites. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France, ENV/JM/MONO(2002)25
36. OECD. (2003) Consensus Document on the Biology of *Zea mays* subsp. *mays* (Maize) Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France, ENV/JM/MONO(2003)11
37. OECD. (2007) Consensus Document on Safety Information on Transgenic Plants Expressing Bacillus thuringiensis - Derived Insect Control Proteins. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, ENV/JM/MONO(2007)14
38. Petrick, J.S., B. Brower-Toland, A.L. Jackson and L.D. Kier. 2013. Safety assessment of food and feed from biotechnology-derived crops employing RNA-mediated gene regulation to achieve desired traits: A scientific review. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 66:167-176.
39. Raybould, A., G. Graser, K. Hill and K. Ward. 2012. Ecological risk assessments for transgenic crops with combined insect-resistance traits: The example of Bt11 x MIR604 maize. Journal of Applied Entomology 136:27-37.
40. Raybould A, Higgins LS, Horak MJ, Layton RJ, Storer NP, De La Fuente JM, Herman RA (2012) Assessing the ecological risks from the persistence and spread of feral populations of insect-resistant transgenic maize. Transgenic Research 21: 655-664

41. Roberts, A., Y. Devos, A. Raybould, P. Bigelow and A. Gray. 2014. Environmental risk assessment of GE plants under low-exposure conditions. Transgenic Research 23:971-983.
42. Schnepf E, Crickmore N, Van Rie J, Lereclus D, Baum J, Feitelson J, Zeigler DR, Dean DH (1998) *Bacillus thuringiensis* and Its Pesticidal Crystal Proteins. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 62: 775-806
43. Shelton A. (2012) Bacteria. Biological Control: A Guide to Natural Enemies in North America. http://www.biocontrol.entomology.cornell.edu/pathogens/bacteria.html

44. U.S. EPA. 1988. Guidance for the reregistration of pesticide products containing Bacillus thuringiensis as the active ingredient. 540/RS-89-023. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
45. US-EPA. (1998) Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): *Bacillus thuringiensis*. United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA738-R-98-004. http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDs/0247.pdf
46. US-EPA (1996) *Bacillus thuringiensis Cry1A(b)* Delta-Endotoxin and the Genetic Material Necessary for Its Production in All Plants; Exemption from Requirements of a Tolerance: Final Rule. Federal Register 61: 40340-40343
47. US-EPA. (2001) Overview. Biopesticides Registration Action Document: Bt Plant-Incorporated Protectants. United States Environmental Protection Agency, pp. I1-I27.
48. U.S. EPA. 2005. Bacillus thuringiensis Cry3Bb1 protein and the genetic material necessary for its production (Vector ZMIR13L) in event MON 863 corn & Bacillus thuringiensis Cry1Ab delta-endotoxin and the genetic material necessary for its production in corn (006430, 006484) fact sheet. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/biopesticides/ingredients_keep/factsheets/factsheet_006430-006484.htm [Accessed September 23, 2013].
49. US-EPA (2010) Biopesticides Registration Action Document: Bacillus thuringiensis Cry34Ab1 and Cry35Ab1 Proteins and the Genetic Material Necessary for Their Production (PHP17662 T-DNA) in Event DAS-59122-7 Corn (OECD Unique Identifier: DAS-59122-7). United States Environmental Protection Agency, www.epa.gov/pesticides/biopesticides/pips/cry3435ab1-brad.pdf
50. Van Eenennaam AL, Young AE (2014) Prevalence and impacts of genetically engineered feedstuffs on livestock populations. Journal of Animal Science 92: 4255-4278
51. Watson SA (1982) Corn: Amazing Maize. General Properties. In *CRC Handbook of Processing and Utilization in Agriculture*, Wolff IA (ed), Vol. 2, pp 3-29. Boca Raton: CRC Press
52. Watson SA (1987) Structure and Composition. In *Corn: Chemistry and Technology*, Watson SA, Ramstad PE (eds), 3, pp 53-82. American Association of Cereal Chemists

Appendix I

GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) MEMBERS INVOLVED IN SPECIFIC RISK ASSESSMENT AREAS FOR THE APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF MON87411 MAIZE FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY

Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) members divided the task of looking up more information for the Risk Assessment matrix based on three broad categories which were environment, human health and animal health. Each sub-committee had a nominated leader to coordinate the work and report back to the main GMAC. The GMAC members involved in the risk assessment are as below:

- **Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong bin Abdullah (Universiti Teknologi MARA) (GMAC Chairman)**
- **Dr. Kodi Isparan Kandasamy (Industry Representative) (Environment sub-committee Leader)**
- **Madam T.S. Saraswathy (Institute of Medical Research - retired) (Human Health sub-committee Leader)**
- **Prof. Dr Jothi Malar Panandam (Universiti Putra Malaysia - retired) (Animal Health sub-committee Leader)**
- **Dr. Rahizan Issa (Institute of Medical Research - retired) (Notification Assessment sub-committee Leader)**
- Dato' Dr. Sim Soon Liang (Academy of Sciences Malaysia)
- Prof. Dr. Abd Rahman Milan (Universiti Malaysia Sabah - retired)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chan Kok Gan (Universiti Malaya)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Choong Chee Yen (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia)
- Assoc. Prof. Sharifah Syed Hassan (Monash University Malaysia)
- Dr. Adiratna Mat Ripen (Institute of Medical Research)
- Dr. Norliza Tendot Abu Bakar (Malaysian Agricultural Research & Development Institute)
- Dr. Norwati Muhammad (Forest Research Institute of Malaysia)
- Dr. Saifullizam bin Abdul Kadir (Department of Veterinary Services)
- Dr. Teo Tze Min (Entomological Society of Malaysia)
- Madam Atikah binti Abdul Kadir Jailani (Department of Agriculture - retired)
- Madam Norizan Jaafar (Department of Chemistry Malaysia)
- Madam Shafini Abu Bakar (Ministry of Health)